UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,815	01/06/2006	Tetsuro Mizushima	283358US0PCT	1896	
22850 7590 12/19/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1795			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/563,	815	MIZUSHIMA, TETSURO		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Martin J	Angebranndt	1795		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the interior of	THIS COMMUNICATION  Event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, p		ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from o				
10) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to the country of t	a) accepted or I ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/13/08</u> .	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1795

1. Those references cited by the applicant, but marked as not considered have been cited by the examiner making their PGpub of record. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Responses to the arguments are presented after the first rejection to which they are directed

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10,12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandross et al. EP 938027, in view of Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566 and Hiruma et al., '831.

Chandross et al. EP 938027 teaches in example 1, the matrix precursor comprising methyltriethoxysilane and phenyltriethoxysilane combined with 10 wt % lauryl acrylate and photoinitiator CGI-784 and hydrolyzed to form the a holographic recording medium and coated to the thickness of 300 microns. This was used in example 3 to record a holograms [0028-0034]. The matrix precusor is R<sub>n</sub>(M)(OR')<sub>4-n</sub> where R is aryl or alkyl, R' is lower alkyl, n is 1 or 2 and M is Si,Ti, Ge, Zr, V ot Al and is hydrolyzed to form the form the matrix. [0009,0014-0018,0022-0025]. Organic moieties are disclosed as increasing compatibility with the photopolymer and the free volume/porosity. [0011]. The use of various monomers including isobornyl acrylate, phenoxyethyl acrylate [0019]. The use of organoalkoxysilanes, and particularly trialkoxysilanes is disclosed [0022].

Art Unit: 1795

Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000) teaches the formation of organic/inorganic materials/xerogels/matrices. R(M)(OR')<sub>3</sub>, where R' is methyl or ethyl and R is hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl,butyl, isobutyl, t-butyl, hexyl, octyl, deceyl, doceyl, hexadecyl, octyl, octadecyl, cyclohexyl, vinyl, phenyl, benzyl, phenethyl, chloromethyl, p-chloromethylphenyl or tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2,tetrahydrooctyl (page 3624). The chloromethyl and chloromethylphenyl are shown to react more slowly that the methyl in table 5. (page 3628). The pore diameters for the chloromethyl is significantly larger than that for the methyl substituted silane as shown in tables 7 and 8 (page 3631). The hydrogen, methyl and chloromethyl gels were the most transparent (page 3631, right column). The formation of gels using materials usually used as coupling agents is disclosed (page 3632, left column)

Shustack et al. '566 teaches organometallic coupling agents such as (bromophenyl)trimethoxysilane and (chlorophenyl)trimethoxysilane lowers scattering losses. [0025].

Hiruma et al., '831 teaches coupling agents increase the adhesion between photocurable coatings and the underlying substrate. Useful coupling agents include chloropropyl trimethoxysilane (3/61-4/66).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the examples of Chandross et al. EP 938027 by replacing the methyltriethoxysilane with chloromethyltriethoxysilane as taught by Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000) with a reasonable expectation of forming useful organic-inorganic matrix holographic recording medium, based upon the transparency

Art Unit: 1795

disclosed by Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000) with increase compatibility with the organic matrix based upon the teaching of the coupling functionality of chlorinated alky/aryl trialkoxysilanes by Shustack et al. '566 and Hiruma et al., '831.

The showing of the instant specification is limited to the use of mixtures of TEOS and the inventive composition in a particular ratio, acid cured and with the high refractive index monomers used. The claims are quite a bit broader than this. Further it is known from Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000) that The hydrogen, methyl and chloromethyl gels were the most transparent and from Chandross et al. EP 938027 that the presence of the R<sub>n</sub>(M)(OR')<sub>4-n</sub> where R is alkyl or aryl yield matrices which are more organic compatible. The examples of the instant specification in using a mixture of TEOS and the inventive silane has clearly reduced the organic character of the matrix and therefore its compatibility with the photopolymer.

The applicant is correct that no one of the references teach the invention claimed, but this position fails to account for the fact that the rejection relies upon several references. As the matrix which forms a substantial portion of the composition is more transparent, as discussed in Loy et al. it is reasonable to expect that the modification of using the chloromethyl or chloromethylphenyl containing would confer at least some increased transparency to the holographic article which would be desirable. Further the reduced scattering discussed by Shustack is clearly a benefit which relates to the performance of the holographic media as noted on page 7 of the response in the first paragraph. As the light passes through the hologram and it is an optical article, transparency is clearly a desirable attribute and no specific direction in

Art Unit: 1795

Page 5

Chendross et al. need be present for one of ordinary skill in the art to appreciate this, but Chandross does discuss that the cured samples in example 1 are "transparent" and indicates that this is a desirable property. The applicant argues that there is no motivation to reduce scatter. The discussion of low levels of bubbles and other internal imperfections in examples 1 addresses this as these would clearly result in scattering of light and one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that light which is scattered is not able to be diffracted to form the holographic image. The issue of adhesion to an underlying substrate is also clear for one of ordinary skill in the art, noting that the holographic recording composition is provided between two substrates in Chandross et al. The applicant argues that they have shown an increased compatibility between the cured matrix of the invention and the photocurable components. The examiner notes that only one photosensitive composition has been used which is a mixture of phenoxyethyl acrylate, 2,2-bis(4-acryloxy-diethoxy)phenyl]propane and irgacure 784. This is not a showing of sufficient breadth for the coverage sought, noting all the free radically polymerizable monomers listed at [0043] of the prepub and the cationically curable monomers discussed at [0048-0051]. The showing of only for a combination of two free radically polymerizable monomers. For the scope of coverage sought, more data would have to be of record. With respect to separation, perhaps the issue of adhesion discussed by Hiruma et al. plays a part. The rejection stands.

4. Claims 1-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandross et al. EP 938027, in view of Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566 and Hiruma et al., '831 further in view of Otaki et al. '740.

Art Unit: 1795

Otaki et al. teaches the holographic recording medium with an inorganic-organic matrix. The photoreactive monomer may be used in amounts of 10-1000 part to 100 parts of the organic-inorganic polymer, preferably 10-100 parts per 100 parts. [0090]

Page 6

To address other embodiments bounded by the claims, but not rendered obvious above, the examiner cites Otaki et al. '740 and holds that it would have been obvious to modify the media rendered obvious by the combination of Chandross et al. EP 938027, Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566 and Hiruma et al., '831 by using a higher relative amount of monomer, such as 50-1000 parts per 100 parts of matrix with a reasonable expectation of successfully forming a useful holographic recording medium based upon the teachings of Otaki et al. '740.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandross et al. EP 938027, in view of Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566, Hiruma et al., '831 and Otaki et al. '740, further in view of Poutasse et al. "782 or Zeldin et al. '172.

Poutasse et al. "782 teaches silanes which act as adhesion promoters (silane coupling agents) bounded by formula B including 8-bromooctyl trimethyoxy silane, bromophoenyl trimethyoxy silane, 3-bromopropyl trimethoxysilane, 2-chloroethyl triethoxy silane, p-chloromethylphenyl trimethoxy silane, chloromethyl triethoxy silane, 3-chloropropyl triethoxy silane and iodopropyl trimethoxy silane (6/32-7/57).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,815 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

Zeldin et al. '172 teaches various siulane coupling agents including bromoethyltriethoxy silane, chloroethyltriethoxy silane, bromopropyltriethoxy silane, bromopropyltriethoxy silane, bromomethyl triethoxysilane, an the like (3/2-57).

In addition to the basis above, it would have been obvious to modify the media rendered obvious by the combination of Chandross et al. EP 938027, Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566, Hiruma et al., '831 and Otaki et al. '740 by using other silane coupling agents, such as those bounded by formula B and/or taught by Poutasse et al. "782 or those used by Zeldin et al. '172, in place of the chloromethyl trialkoxides exemplified by Loy, et al., "Substituent effect on the sol-gel chemistry of organotriakoxysilanes", Chem. Mater., Vol. 1212) pp. 3624-36-32 (2000), Shustack et al. '566 and Hiruma et al., '831 with a reasonable expectation of gaining the benefits disclosed in adhesion and the like based upon these being silane coupling agents/adhesion promoters.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1795

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Martin J Angebranndt/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Page 8

Art Unit 1795

12/12/2008